09-50026-mg Doc 13561 Filed 12/02/15 Entered 12/03/15 00:31:26 Imaged Certificate of Notice Pg 1 of 36

Endorsed Order:

Denied for failure to show a *prima facie* entitlement to relief.

Dated: New York, New York November 30, 2015

s/ Robert E. Gerber

Honorable Robert E. Gerber United States Bankruptcy Judge

1	Dary Dursuare
2	AD6237 C3A 150
3	Box 72200
4	stockton ca 95213.
5	· 6 ₁
6	
7	
8	EXITED STATES BANKRUPTY COURT
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
10	(N RE COSE 09-500026 (REG)
11	motors agus eletion
12	Company Etal
13	Egnetian switch litigation
14	Dary Dursner
15	
16	
17	
18	Dehendents
19	10000
20	(11 USCS 362(CV)
21	Right to intovers
22	ende 7018 and ander to protect
23	my Right; Rule 8007 (E)(Z)
24	the contract of the contract o
25	1
26	
27	
28	10 } 2

Ove process rights and Moves This court te issue approprate order te protect my nghots unde Role 8007 (8)(2) às a real party in interest To be heard and obtain Constitutionally Mendeted Discovery for production in Plant of Habeas concerning actual mocence of which The Defendant's hove knowledge of and conceding by usery this count as a pletform for month of criminal actions against a Dependant abbul+ 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 I Declare under puolty of payony under The laws of the state of California 1/17/15 DND 4 27 28

1	Darry Donemore
2	40 6237 C3A 150
3	Boy 32260
4	Stockton Ca 95213
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
10	W R9 (REG)
11	Motors Liquidation
12	Company Ex al
13	
14	
15	1 TC
16	
17	,
18	Delendants
19	
20	such Authorities V
21	Support at objection at reply Brick
22	Peral code 366(a),(b),(1),(6) 1,2
23	contects y pages
24	1-0.1 cesc -
25	punoradon z pages
26	Publit A
27	Dischlity Certificates " Pages
28	Brich Reply W/17/10 DAD -
	refl

Daryl Dursnare 2 AD6237 (3A 150 Box 32200 3 Stockton Ca 95213 5 6 7 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 8 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 9 10 IN RS Motors liquidation 11 Company et al Monition Switch Litigation 13 Darry Dursnar 14 15 16 NEW GMC STal 17 Defendants 18 1.9 20 21 22 23 Pla. nt. ls 24 This plaint. If individually and independently objects to Defendants 25 26 27 28 r, f 4

Reply Brock arguments concerning 2 proitire Danage avands 4 First off New GMC as they refer 5 to then selfs are attempting to use This 6 Court as a platform for immorty of Their present and Past Criminal activities Since the Sale torned (The 763 Sale) This plaintils complaint Case FCSO45638 Solano Superor Court Cu Complains That , I The Defendants had not Concealed The acts of old GMC as They continue to de as of Date; plantil, would not have been wrongly convicted in 16 2010 after The 363 Sale Yet Defendants at this very mount 18 are useing this court and The Superior 19 Court of Solano to Conceal Exculpatory 20 Evidence That will exonorate plaintiff
21 Having been informed of pending proceedings in the Gederal Southern District of Ca plaint. les unet of Habeas ours exc Pol Continue to Deprive plaintiff of Constitutionally Mandated Discovery and use these counts as a platform for 27 28 2021

immonity of Those criminal acts 2 n Violation at Colifornia Penal Code 3 137 134, 135 Defendants arguments fail and hability protections were Viold The Monart They decided to Conceal and about The 8 principles Criminal actions and Misconduct of old GMC 12 (012 GMC) why are They providery Then 13 with egal protection and agreement par New GMC Argue in its Brief Page 10 Sec (2) That it count be responsible 17 for knowledge son purported events that
18 took place et old and is unbelievable So The Defendants Claim here is 21 basically that if they new a Murder 22 accurred at old one and don't tell any one but was later caught and found out to have knowledge Theor not commelly hable for not telling ony one 70 LY 28

That's the biggest hogewish argument there ever heard New GMC is responsible period They day They became aware of The issues They need to step up and take responsibility for every manual They continue to Concept and with hold constitutionally Mandeted of Discovery from This plaintill They Should not only pay printive Danages to This plaint. If they should pay toward trabble as well from This day forward as This plaint. It is informing Then he is on independent adult within The neurry of Pual Code 368 of California 16l 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 I Declare under The penalty of perjary onder The Laws of The State of California is true 26 11/17/15 D-10-e 27 4.84 28

Darry Duranon 40622 C3A 150 Box 32200 3 Stockton Ca 9521] 5 6 UNITED STATES BANTRUPTCY COURT 8 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 9 Cose 09-50026 (REG) IN RE 10 Motors aguidation company et al 1611-t. on Suitch 1. tigation 14 15 16 New BMC 2401 17 Defendants 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 California Peral Code 768(a) (The Cogislative 25 hands and Declares That crimes against eldus and Depudant adults are Deserving of special Consideration and protection

Conforma Poral Code 768 (b) (1) (any Porson 2 who willfolk couses to suller or uflicts 3 mjostifiable physical pain and Mental Sullving) 4 (on to be place in Situation where health is endagered) (is purishable by imprisonment for 2,3,4 years) Culifornia Peral Code 768 (f) (any Person who commits The folse impresonment of an elder or Dependant adult by use of Erraud or Deciet is punishable by imprisonment 12 For 2, 3, 4 years The Defendants Consistantly Declare They know about The frond, beaut of old one with in the nearing of peral code 135 They we been Directly informed 18 of that it is to be produced in an 19 inquiry of wid of Habou Through filedys 20 of This Court by plaintill and his 21 Complaint Yet continue to violate The law 23 and use This court as a platform to 24 do so couseing The false imprisonment 25 of The plantité à Dependant adult 26 I Declare under punctry of property 27 under The Laws of The state of California 28 15 true M/12/15 2082

13

Hearing Date: October 14, 2015, at 9:45 a.m. (Eastern Time)

KING & SPALDING LLP

1185 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036

Telephone: (212) 556-2100 Facsimile: (212) 556-2222

Arthur Steinberg Scott Davidson

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

300 North LaSalle

Chicago, IL 60654

Telephone:

(312) 862-2000

Facsimile:

(312) 862-2200

Richard C. Godfrey, P.C. (admitted *pro hac vice*) Andrew B. Bloomer, P.C. (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Attorneys for General Motors LLC

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re : Chapter 11

MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY, et al.,

f/k/a General Motors Corp., et al.

Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)

Case No.: 09-50026 (REG)

REPLY BRIEF BY GENERAL MOTORS LLC WITH RESPECT TO WHETHER PLAINTIFFS MAY SEEK PUNITIVE DAMAGES FROM GENERAL MOTORS LLC BASED ON THE CONDUCT OF GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ARGU	JMENT1
A.	Plaintiffs Have Not Shown That Section 2.3(a)(ix) Unambiguously Provides
	For Payment of Punitive Damages Relating to Post-Sale Accidents/Incidents1
В.	The Qualitative Differences Between Compensatory and Punitive Damages
	Demonstrate that Section 2.3(a)(ix) is Limited to Compensatory Damages4
C.	Section 2.3(a)(ix) Must Be Interpreted in the Context
	Of the Entire Sale Agreement, and the Sale Order6
D.	Extrinsic Evidence Is Important Context For Construing Section 2.3(a)(ix)7
E.	New GM Cannot Be Assessed Punitive Damages for Retained Liabilities

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases Page(s) Banxcorp v. Costco Wholesale Corp., Barbagallo v. Marcum LLP, BMW of N. Am., Inc. v. Gore, Engquist v Oregon Dep't of Agriculture, Fabiano v. Philip Morris Inc., Hillside Metro Assoc., LLC v. JP Morgan Chase Bank, Holland v. FCA US LLC, Home Ins. Co. v. Am. Home Prods. Corp., Metz v. U.S. Life Ins. Co., Soto v. State Farm Ins. Co., State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. Campbell, Terwilliger v. Terwilliger, **Statutes**

General Motors LLC ("New GM") submits this reply brief to show that the Sale Order bars plaintiffs from asserting punitive damage claims against it based on the conduct of Motors Liquidation Co. (f/k/a General Motors Corporation) ("Old GM").²

<u>ARGUMENT</u>

A. Plaintiffs Have Not Shown That Section 2.3(a)(ix) Unambiguously Provides For Payment of Punitive Damages Relating to Post-Sale Accidents/Incidents

Plaintiffs assert that Section 2.3(a)(ix) of the Sale Agreement, addressing Assumed Liabilities for post-363 Sale accidents/incidents, is unambiguous because it does not specifically exclude the term "punitive damages." In fact, the opposite is true; Section 2.3(a)(ix) is ambiguous, in the context of plaintiffs' argument concerning damages, precisely because there is no specific mention of punitive damages. A negative implication argument (the omission of a specific term such as punitive damages) rarely demonstrates unambiguity. It certainly does not show unambiguity here since the generalized term—damages—is not even used in Section 2.3(a)(ix). In that circumstance, the Court is required to review the entirety of the Sale Agreement to confirm that plaintiffs' proposed interpretation is consistent with the overall Agreement and the parties' intent. Extrinsic evidence concerning the reason why the provision was specifically amended also is relevant in these circumstances, as are the inherent differences (including treatment in bankruptcy and public policy objectives) between compensatory damages and punitive damages.

¹ Terms used herein and not otherwise defined shall have the meanings ascribed to them in New GM's Opening Brief on the Punitive Damages Issue, dated September 13, 2015 [Dkt. No. 13437].

This Reply Brief is filed in response to (i) the Post-Closing Ignition Switch Accident Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law with Respect to Punitive Damages Issues, dated September 13, 2015 [Dkt. No. 13434] ("Post Closing Accident Plaintiffs' Brief"), (ii) the Joinder of the Ignition Switch Plaintiffs and Non-Ignition Switch Plaintiffs to the Post-Closing Ignition Switch Accident Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law with Respect to Punitive Damages Issues, dated September 13, 2015 [Dkt. No. 13436] ("Plaintiffs' Joinder"), and (iii) the Brief of Plaintiffs Regarding Punitive Damages Issue, dated September 13, 2015 (filed by the Moore Plaintiffs).

Plaintiffs alternatively argue that the Sale Agreement is unambiguous because the parties knew how to draft an exclusion for punitive damages, as they did in excluding punitive damages from the definition of "Damages" in Section 1.1 of the Sale Agreement. If anything, however, the express exclusion of punitive damages from Section 1.1 further demonstrates the parties' generalized contractual intent to exclude punitive damages caused by Old GM's conduct from the liabilities assumed by New GM. The text of the Sale Agreement, therefore, supports New GM because, if the parties had intended for New GM to assume punitive damages in connection with Assumed Liabilities, they would have expressly said so.

Indeed, in making their counter-textual argument, Plaintiffs ignore the sequence of the drafting of the respective Sale Agreement provisions. The "Damages" definition, which was intended to and did exclude punitive damages, never changed from the original version filed with the Sale Motion. Section 2.3(a)(ix) of the Sale Agreement, however, was modified just prior to the Sale Hearing for the narrow purpose of specifically addressing objections that owners of Old GM vehicles, who may become involved in post-363 Sale accidents, would not be compensated for damages arising from such accidents. Significantly, no interested party asked New GM to assume punitive damages relating to post-363 Sale accidents, and Section 2.3(a)(ix) was amended specifically to resolve the filed objections.

Plaintiffs next argue that unambiguity is shown by the defined term "Liabilities." But that term does not use the word "damages," much less "punitive damages." Even more important, the term "Liabilities" is not used as a standalone term in Section 2.3(a)(ix); it is one part of the definition "Product Liabilities." The original version of the Sale Agreement filed with the Sale Motion defined "Product Liabilities" in Section 2.3(a)(ix) as follows:

All Liabilities (including Liabilities for negligence, strict liability, design defect, manufacturing defect, failure to warn or breach of express or implied warranties

of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose) to third parties for death, personal injury, other injury to Persons or damage to property.[3]

In the version of the Sale Agreement approved by the Court, the definition of "Product Liabilities" in Section 2.3(a)(ix) changed as follows:

All Liabilities to third parties for death, personal injury, or other injury to Persons or damage to property caused by motor vehicles designed for operation on public roadways or by the component parts of such motor vehicles and, in each case, manufactured, sold or delivered by Sellers ("Product Liabilities"). . . (emphasis supplied)

For purpose of the punitive damages issue, the key difference between the two definitions of "Product Liability" is that the final version added the clause "caused by motor vehicles." Plaintiffs do not analyze this clause, which was intended to limit the scope of product liability claims to those where the vehicle itself was the direct cause of the alleged injury—i.e., primarily as in the case of a motor vehicle accident—rather than more broadly to claims arising from the general conduct of Old GM, such as purportedly false misrepresentations giving rise to a fraud claim. Unambiguity cannot be established by an incomplete analysis which ignores a newly added clause.

The remainder of the revised Section 2.3(a)(ix), after defining "Product Liabilities," also is critically important to the scope of Product Liabilities assumed by New GM. This additional language limits Product Liabilities to those "which arise directly out of death, personal injury or other injury to Persons or damage to property caused by accidents or incidents first occurring on or after the Closing Date and arising from such motor vehicles' operation or performance "

The word directly and the phrase caused by accidents or incidents (both of which are express limitations) were added after the newly defined term "Product Liabilities;" these mean that the injury from the Product Liability must be directly caused by the motor vehicle and the

In the original version, assumed Product Liabilities only related to vehicles sold by New GM.

accident/incident. Then, another clause of limitation, arise from such motor vehicles' operation or performance was also added. Read together, the section means that an assumed Product Liability Claim is limited to the circumstance when an injury/damage to property is (a) directly caused by the motor vehicle, (b) directly caused by the accident/incident, and (c) directly arises from such motor vehicle's performance/operation. As shown in the next section, that is the essence of compensatory damages—not punitive damages.

B. The Qualitative Differences Between Compensatory and Punitive Damages Demonstrate that Section 2.3(a)(ix) is Limited to Compensatory Damages

Compensatory damages are "intended to redress the concrete loss that the plaintiff has suffered by reason of the defendant's wrongful conduct." State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. Campbell, 538 U.S. 408, 416 (2003) (citing Restatement (Second) of Torts § 903 (1979)). By contrast, punitive damages are imposed to serve two policy objectives: punishing unlawful conduct and deterring its repetition. See BMW of N. Am., Inc. v. Gore, 517 U.S. 559, 568 (1996). A punitive damage award does not serve any compensatory goals. Engquist v Oregon Dep't of Agriculture, 478 F.3d 985, 1002, 1004 (9th Cir. 2007); Home Ins. Co. v. Am. Home Prods. Corp., 75 N.Y.2d 196, 200 (1990) (punitive damages are a windfall for the plaintiff who has been made whole by compensatory damages). As the Supreme Court has repeatedly cautioned: "It should be presumed a plaintiff has been made whole for his injuries by compensatory damages, so punitive damages should only be awarded if the defendant's culpability, after having paid compensatory damages, is so reprehensible as to warrant the imposition of further sanctions to achieve punishment or deterrence." State Farm, 538 U.S. at 418.

Compensatory damages are a property right subject to due process protections. Punitive damages are not. See Engquist, 478 F.3d at 1002 (because punitive damages are a discretionary,

⁴ The Sale Agreement (§ 9.12) provides that New York law governs if the Bankruptcy Code is not applicable.

moral judgment, a plaintiff's interest in punitive damages is too speculative to constitute property under the Takings Clause). As the Ninth Circuit put it: "punitive damages do not follow compensatory damages, as interest follows principal." *Id.* at 1003. Punitive damages are "never awarded as of right, no matter how egregious the defendant's conduct," in contrast to compensatory damages, which "are mandatory, once liability is found" *Id.* (citing *Smith v. Wade*, 461 U.S. 30, 52 (1983)).

Plaintiffs misconstrue the word "directly" in Section 2.3(a)(ix) of the Sale Agreement by claiming punitive damages arise directly from the injury. While it is true that if there are no compensatory damages, then there can be no punitive damages, that is as far as plaintiffs' argument can be extended.⁵ Punitive damages "must be shown to be emblematic of much more than individually sustained wrong. It must be shown to reflect pervasive and grave misconduct affecting the public generally." Fabiano v. Philip Morris Inc., 54 A.D.3d 146,150-51, 862 N.Y.S.2d 487, 490-91 (2008); see also Banxcorp v. Costco Wholesale Corp., 723 F.Supp.2d 596, 621 (S.D.N.Y. 2010) (citing Fabiano); Barbagallo v. Marcum LLP, 820 F.Supp.2d 429, 448-49 (E.D.N.Y. 2011) (same). In other words, punitive damages are not directly tied to the injury caused by a motor vehicle accident. They address a different policy objective (punishment and deterrence) and, thus, their focus is on other issues beyond the specific vehicle accident.⁶ Because, in contrast, Section 2.3(a)(ix) of the Sale Agreement is expressly limited to direct injuries caused by the particular operation of the motor vehicle specific to the accident or incident alleged, punitive damages cannot be included within this narrow definition.

Indeed, that is precisely New GM's point regarding punitive damage demands for what plaintiffs assert are Independent Claims but, in reality, are Retained Liabilities.

New York's Pattern Jury Instructions illustrate this distinction. Juries are instructed that they may consider the wrongdoer's similar conduct in other situations in order to determine the extent to which defendant's conduct was reprehensible. N.Y. Pattern Jury Instr., Civil § 2:278. Juries may also consider the wrongdoer's financial condition in determining the amount of punitive damages. *Id*.

C. Section 2.3(a)(ix) Must Be Interpreted in the Context Of the Entire Sale Agreement, and the Sale Order

As the Second Circuit cautioned in *Terwilliger v. Terwilliger*, 206 F.3d 240, 245 (2d Cir. 2000), "the entire contract must be considered, and all parts of it reconciled, if possible, in order to avoid an inconsistency." *See also Hillside Metro Assoc., LLC v. JP Morgan Chase Bank,* No. 10-cv-1772, 2011 WL 5008368, at *9 (E.D.N.Y. Oct, 20, 2011); *Metz v. U.S. Life Ins. Co.*, No. 09-cv-10250, 2010 WL 3703810, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. Sept 21, 2010). Section 2.3(a)(ix) must therefore be given a meaning consistent with the other provisions of the Sale Agreement. In that regard, Section 9.19 of the Sale Agreement is particularly relevant. It provides:

Except where expressly prohibited under applicable Law or otherwise expressly ordered by the Bankruptcy Court, upon the Closing, neither Purchaser nor any of its Affiliates or stockholders shall be deemed to (a) be the successor of Sellers; (b) have, de facto, or otherwise, merged with or into Sellers; (c) be a mere continuation or substantial continuation of Sellers or the enterprise(s) of Sellers; or (d) other than as set forth in this Agreement, be liable for any acts or omission of Seller in the conduct of Sellers' business or arising under or related to the Purchased Assets. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, and except as otherwise provided in this Agreement, neither Purchaser nor any of its Affiliates or stockholders shall be liable for any Claims against Sellers or any of their predecessors or Affiliates, and neither Purchaser nor any of its Affiliates or stockholders shall have any successor, transferee or vicarious Liability of any kind or character whether known or unknown at the Closing, whether now existing or hereafter arising, whether fixed or contingent, with respect to Sellers' business or any obligations of Sellers arising prior to the Closing . . . (emphasis supplied).

This provision reflects the generalized contractual intent of the parties; that New GM was not assuming liabilities relating to Old GM's conduct except as narrowly and explicitly set forth in the Sale Agreement. The failure to expressly mention punitive damages, a liability measured by Old GM's conduct, and whose sole purpose is to punish the wrongdoer-seller and deter its future wrongdoing, is therefore significant. The absence of language explicitly including punitive damages in the scope of Assumed Liabilities must be read consistently with the contractual intent reflected by the other provisions of the Sale Agreement, which demonstrate

that New GM assumed liabilities that were as narrow and limited as commercially necessary for its' business. As shown in the next section, it would also be against public policy to do so. Plaintiffs here do not have standing to complain about this result; they are not third party beneficiaries under the plain terms of the Sale Agreement.⁷

D. Extrinsic Evidence Is Important Context For Construing Section 2.3(a)(ix)

If the Court were to determine that the plain language of Section 2.3(a)(ix) and the agreement as a whole do not unambiguously exclude punitive damages, then extrinsic evidence is relevant to determining the parties' intent. Here, the extrinsic evidence is clear that New GM never agreed to assume punitive damages. First, it was not commercially necessary to assume that type of obligation. Second, Section 2.3(a)(ix) was amended to address certain objections to the 363 Sale, and no objector sought this type of relief. Third, punitive damages, as a subordinated claim, would never have been paid by Old GM and, thus, there was no compelling reason for Old GM to seek such a provision in the Sale Agreement, no compelling reason for the creditors who would be the future equity holders of New GM to do so, and no compelling reason for New GM to agree to pay for such an obligation.

As described in New GM's Opening Brief (see p. 8 n.7), this Court has repeatedly found that New GM only agreed to assume those liabilities that were commercially necessary for the operation of its new business. New GM had no reason to, and never agreed to, pay for non-compensatory awards designed to punish Old GM for its misconduct or to provide plaintiffs with a windfall recovery. Punishing New GM for Old GM conduct would have been of no

Section 9.11 of the Sale Agreement provides: "This Agreement shall be binding upon and inure solely to the benefit of each Party hereto, and their respective permitted successors and assigns. . . . Subject to the preceding sentence, nothing express or implied in this Agreement is intended or shall be construed to confer upon or give to any Person, other than the Parties, their Affiliates, and their respective permitted successors or assigns, any legal or equitable Claims, benefits, rights or remedies of any nature whatsoever under or by reason of this Agreement."

commercial benefit to New GM, nor would paying plaintiffs after they had been made whole through compensatory damages. Plaintiffs have not presented any evidence that New GM intended to assume this type of obligation.

Section 2.3(a)(ix) was amended to address specific objections made to the 363 Sale by objectors that discussed the necessity of paying compensatory damages to future accident victims relating to Old GM vehicles. The objectors argued⁸ that people who have not yet suffered injury or loss because of Old GM's misconduct are not creditors. Injury or loss, in that context, meant compensatory damages, not punitive damages. The objectors also argued they had a due process right to be compensated for injury or loss. Again, that meant compensatory damages, not punitive damages. No objector asserted that New GM should pay punitive damages, and Section 2.3(a)(ix) was amended to address only the specific objections that were made.

At the time of the 363 Sale, it was known to all parties that, based on the purchase price and the amount of undisputed claims against Old GM, unsecured creditors would not be paid in full and subordinated claims, such as punitive damage awards, would not be paid *anything*. There was nothing speculative about this result. These facts are all relevant to the proper interpretation of Section 2.3(a)(ix). Because claims for punitive damages would never have been paid by Old GM, New GM would have no logical reason to assume them.

Moreover, the policy objectives of punishment and deterrence are not served if Section 2.3(a)(ix) is construed to provide for New GM's assumption of punitive damages. New GM should not be punished for acts committed before it came into existence. Courts applying New York law have held that imposing punitive damages on a party who did not commit the wrongful conduct is against public policy. Specifically, New York's "public policy precludes

See New GM Opening Brief on Punitive Damages, at p. 6 n.6.

indemnification for punitive damages." Soto v. State Farm Ins. Co., 83 N.Y.2d 718, 724 (N.Y. 1994); see also Home Ins. Co. v. Am. Home Prods. Corp., 75 N.Y.2d 196, 200 (N.Y. 1990) ("There is no question that the general rule, as articulated in two of our recent decisions, is that New York public policy precludes insurance indemnification for punitive damage awards, whether the punitive damages are based on intentional actions or actions which, while not intentional, amount to 'gross negligence, recklessness, or wantonness'")(citations omitted)).

In Soto, an insured sought to make its insurer indemnify it for punitive damages awarded in a motor vehicle accident lawsuit after the insurer refused to settle before trial. While the insurer was responsible for compensatory damages in excess of the policy limits, the New York Court of Appeals found that the insurer was not responsible for punitive damages:

We conclude that a rule permitting recovery for excess civil judgments attributable to punitive damage awards would be unsound public policy. We have previously endorsed the "fundamental principle that no one shall be permitted to take advantage of his own wrong." [citations omitted] This principle is not vitiated by the existence of an entirely separate and analytically distinct wrong on the part of the insurer. [citations omitted]...

Soto, 613 N.Y.S.2d at 724-25; see also Home Ins. Co., 75 N.Y.2d at 203 ("allowing coverage serves no useful purpose since such damages are a windfall for the plaintiff who, by hypothesis, has been made whole by the award of compensatory damages" (citations omitted)).

The assumption of liabilities in a 363 sale is analogous to an insurer's agreement to indemnify its insured. In both cases, there is an agreement on the part of a third party to be liable for claims based on the actions of another. Allowing punitive damages to be shifted from the wrongdoer to a third party nullifies the policy objectives of punitive damages and is, thus, contrary to New York public policy.

09.50026-reg Doc 13460 Cerlent 13462/15nt Faller of 13463 (1500) 31:26 in may and the complete of 13463 (150) Main Document Por 13 of 14

E. New GM Cannot Be Assessed Punitive Damages for Retained Liabilities

Plaintiffs' other two arguments for punitive damages related to New GM conduct are

already covered in other New GM submissions. New GM's opening imputation brief

specifically addresses why New GM cannot be liable for punitive damages based on New GM's

knowledge of purported events that took place at Old GM. And the marked pleadings and

accompanying letters will demonstrate that plaintiffs' alleged "Independent Claims" are actually

Retained Liabilities, which plaintiffs concede are not subject to punitive damages.9

WHEREFORE, New GM respectfully requests that this Court: (i) find that any request

for punitive damages against New GM based on Old GM conduct is barred by the Sale Order,

and cannot be maintained against New GM; and (ii) direct plaintiffs in lawsuits that seek

punitive damages against New GM based on Old GM conduct to withdraw their request for

punitive damages.

Dated: New York, New York September 22, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Arthur Steinberg

Arthur Steinberg

Scott Davidson

KING & SPALDING LLP

1185 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036

Telephone:

(212) 556-2100

Facsimile:

(212) 556-2222

-and-

Plaintiffs cite to Holland v. FCA US LLC, 1015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 117643 (N.D. Ohio Sept. 3, 2015), in support of their Independent Claim argument. But Holland is inapposite. There, the plaintiffs framed the issue as to whether New Chrysler established a sufficient relationship with Old Chrysler vehicle owners such that state law imposed an independent duty. The alleged new conduct included events that took place after the sale to New Chrysler, including: (i) New Chrysler extending warranty coverage (akin to the glove box warranty), and (ii) New Chrysler issuing technical service bulletins alerting dealers and vehicle owners about vehicle issues. The Court did not decide any substantive issue, but determined it would not transfer the case to the bankruptcy court. Other than using the words "Independent Conduct," plaintiffs have not made any of the "new conduct" allegations set forth in Holland.

Richard C. Godfrey, P.C. (admitted *pro hac vice*) Andrew B. Bloomer, P.C. (admitted *pro hac vice*) KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 300 North LaSalle Chicago, IL 60654 Telephone: (312) 862-2000

Facsimile: (

(312) 862-2200

Attorneys for General Motors LLC

09-50026-reg Doc 13555 Eile File 125/132/13 Interest 15.30 16.30 1

Claim for Disability Insurance Benefits - Doctor's Certificate

TYPE or PRINT with BLACK INK.

34. PATIENT'S FILE NUMBER	35. PATIENT'S SOCIAL SECURITY NO. 547 - 29 - 0615					
4835901	Oursmore					
37. DOCTOR'S NAME AS SHOWN ON LICEN		TELEPHONE NUMBER	JMBER 39. DOCTOR'S STATE LICENSE NO.			
Dalia Amezou	(858)2790925 A60602					
40. DOCTOR'S ADDRESS - NUMBER AND STR	EET, CITY, STATE, COUNTRY (IF NOT USA), ZIP CODE.	POST OFFICE B	OX NUMBER IS NOT ACCEP	TED AS THE SOLE ADDRESS		
6973 LINDA VIOTE ROL SAN DIESO, SA SEIL						
44 THIS PATIENT HAS BEEN LINDER MY CA	RE AND TREATMENT FOR THIS MEDICAL PR		. 12			
FROM 12 10 0 0 TO	ores ent day 2/11/05 AT INTERVALS OF	DAILY WE	EKLY MONTHLY	AS NEEDED		
42. AT ANY TIME DURING YOUR ATTENDAN INCAPABLE OF PERFORMING HIS/HER	ICE FOR THIS MEDICAL PROBLEM, HAS THE	PATIENT BEEN		O OR ANTICIPATE RELEASING PATIENT TO		
NO – SKIP TO THE DOCTOR'S	YES - ENTER DATE DISABILITY BEGAN:	RETURN TO HIS/HER REGULAR / CUSTOMARY WORK ("UNKNOWN," "INDEFINITE,"				
CERTIFICATION SECTION	11, 10,04		ETC., NOT ACCEPTED.)			
44. ICD9 DISEASE CODE, PRIMARY (REQUIR	ED UNLESS DIAGNOSIS NOT YET OBTAINED)		45. IDC9 DISEASE CODE(S), SECONDARY		
224. g						
46. DIAGNOSIS (REQUIRED) — IF NO DIAGNOSIS	HAS BEEN DETERMINED, ENTER OBJECTIVE FINDING	S OR A DETAILED ST	ATEMENT OF SYMPTOMS			
An kylosing sp	ondylitis			· ·		
	AND EXTENT OF THE INCAPACITATING DISE	ASE OD IN HIDV	INCLUDE ANY OTHER DIS	ARI ING CONDITIONS		
	evaluation: signific					
P. C. W.	comment.	ant Imi	Hatus - Jee	1 Cport		
48. TYPE OF TREATMENT / MEDICATION RENDERED TO PATIENT			49. IF PATIENT WAS HOSPITALIZED, PROVIDE DATES OF ENTRY AND DISCHARGE			
ASA10S	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
50. DATE AND TYPE OF SURGERY / PROCEDURE PERFORMED OR TO BE PERFORMED			ICD9 PROCEDURE CODE(S)			
			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
51. IF PATIENT IS NOW PREGNANT OR HAS				TATE THE ABNORMAL AND		
PREGNANCY TERMINATE OR WHAT DA	TE DO YOU EXPECT DELIVERY?	INVOLUNTA	RY COMPLICATION CAUSIN	IG MATERNAL DISABILITY		
, ,		~				
	Service Control of the Control of th		•			
53. BASED ON YOUR EXAMINATION OF PAT	• •			55. WOULD DISCLOSURE OF THIS		
DISABILITY THE RESULT OF "OCCUPAT AN "INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENT" OR AS AN	,,		MENDATION TO AN DRUG-FREE	INFORMATION TO YOUR PATIENT BE MEDICALLY OR		
	DISEASE"? (INCLUDE SITUATIONS WHERE PATIENT'S OCCUPATION RESIDENTIAL FACILITY A			LITY AS INDICATED BY THE PATIENT IN PSYCHOLOGICALLY		
HAS AGGRAVATED PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS.) QUESTION 23?				DETRIMENTAL?		
YES NO	☐ YES <	7 NO		YES TWO		
Doctor's Certification and Signature (Ri	EQUIRED): Having considered the patient's	s regular or custo	omary work, I certify under	penalty of perjury that, based on my		
examination, this Doctor's Certificate truly describes the patient's disability (if any) and the estimated duration thereof.						
I further certify that I am a Medical Introl med licensed to practice in the State of California. (TYPE OF DOCTOR) (SPECIALTY, IF ANY)						
Linda Vista Health Care Center						
- 09/3 LINDA VIGTA DA						
- Dah w	NA YMM . Od	in Diego, (CA 92117///	05		
ORIGINAL SIGNATURE OF ATTENDING DO	OCTOR - RUBBER STAMP IS NOT ACCEPTABLE	T.(85 8) 27 F.(858) 27	9-0925 641	TE SIGNED		

Under sections 2116 and 2122 of the California Unemployment Insurance Code, it is a violation for any individual who, with intent to defraud, falsely certifies the medical condition of any person in order to obtain disability insurance benefits, whether for the maker or for any other person, and is punishable by imprisonment and/or a fine not exceeding \$20,000. Section 1143 requires additional administrative penalties.

DE 2501 Rev. 74 (9-04)

page 3

Fagg 930529265 nad62725353504 (BFiled 152402 11 Elization en 12 2/03/4509 631: Rain la Branch of 2 Certificate of Blotise of 280 26 of 36

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DISABILITY PLACEMENT PROGRAM VERIFICATION (DPPV)		DEPARIMEN		n: Page 1 of 1	
CDCR 1845 (Rev. 2/14)				tions: Page 2	
Exam/observation and eUHR Review					
SECTION A: DISABILITY VERIFICATION (for use with perman	ent dis	abilities lasting six months of	r longer)		
DISABILITY CONFIRMED (see below)	DISABILITY CONFIRMED (see below)				
CHANGE IN DPP CODE: Previous code(s)	DISABILITY DOES NOT IMPACT PLACEMENT				
ADDITIONAL DPP CODE: Current code(s)	CODE: Current code(s) NO DISABILITY				
SECTION B: DISABILITY DESIGNATION					
	ility Type	e: Mobility Criteria		Code	
Disability Code Definitions Individual has severe mobility restrictions and requires a Full Time Wheelchair accommodation to ambulate in and out of cell/bed area.		Time Wheelchair prescribed for use. elchair accessible housing and path of	travel required	□ DPW	
Individual has severe mobility restrictions but only uses a Wheelchair Intermittently as an accommodation to ambulate outside of cell/bed area.	Intermittent Wheelchair prescribed for use outside of cell/housing. Wheelchair accessible cell not required / Wheelchair can be kept outside of cell.			₽ DPO	
Individual has severe mobility restrictions and uses an assistive device other than a wheelchair to ambulate, and cannot walk up or down stairs because of the disability.	nan a wheelchair to ambulate, and cannot walk up or down stairs Generally no steps/stairs in regular path of travel.				
Individual requires a relatively level terrain/path of travel accommodation to ambulate due to mobility or health concerns		or may not use a walking device for as walk up/down at least 6 steps/stairs s).		□ DLT	
Individual may or may not require an assistive device accommodation to ambulate because of a disability, but the disability is not severe enough to require special housing or level terrain.	ImpaMay	stive device may be prescribed for ami irment of major life activity must exist, have special needs outside housing p walk up or down steps/stairs.		□ _{DNM}	
Disal		e: Hearing			
Individual is <u>deaf or severely hearing impaired</u> and requires written notes, sign language, or lip reading accommodation to achieve effective communication.		ing Impaired Vest is required while ou or may not use a sign language interp		□ ррн	
Individual has a hearing impairment and uses an assistive hearing device to achieve effective communication.	Hear	stive hearing device prescribed. ing Impaired Vest is required while ou ing device(s) are not in use.	tside of cell/bed area when	□ DNH	
		pe: Vision			
Individual has severe vision impairment which is not correctable to better than 20/200 with corrective lenses in at least one eye.			ide of cell/bed area.	□ DPV	
		e: Speech	iles le descressited	Τ	
Individual $\underline{\text{does not}}$ communicate effectively when $\underline{\text{speaking}}$ due to permanen speech impairments.	• Ensi	ure that primary means of communicat	ion is documented.	DPS	
	1	e: Kidney			
Individual has a kidney disease or other chronic illness.	• Req	uires Dialysis		☐ DKD	
		ATED FORMS			
l have completed a new CDCR 7410, Comprehensive Accommodation C	hrono, to	document physical limitations for a ve	rified disability.		
☐I have completed a new CDCR 128 C-3, Medical Classification Chrono, to	o docume	ent medical limitations for a verified dis	ability.		
SECTI	ON D: C	COMMENTS			
Clinician Name/Title: Clinician Signature:		e:	Verification/Form da	te:	
Haile, Bethlehem@CDCR Digitally Authenticat		cated	7/15/2015		
CME or Designee Name: CME or Designee Signature: Review date:		CDCR#: ad6237 Last Name: DUNSMORE First Name: DARRYL	MI: L		
		DOR: 9/12/1967			

DISTRIBUTION: Original to medical record. Copy to Chrono Section of C-File; C&PR/RC CC-III; CC-I; and Inmate

Forward a copy of this form and any Accommodation Chronos to the C&PR within 72 hours.

This form has been approved electronically by Haile, Bethlehem@CDCR on 2015-07-15 12:07:21.



09-50026-mg Doc-13561 File Filed 12/02/15 Entered 12/16 1/16 1/16 1/16 1/16 1/16 1/16 1/1				
PAR Montion Detail 0/02/2015				
Inmate's Name: DUNSMORE, DARRYL CDCR #: AD6237 Housing: FAC C3A-115				
RAP Staff Present: ADA Coordinator J.A. Zamora, Custody Appeals Coordinator A Infante Poster C. Williams				
Realth Care Appeals Representative, L. Donnelly, Registered Nurse M. Lowe				
Inmate Interviewed: No 🖂 Yes DPM, CCCMS				
Disability Access or Discrimination Issue: SUBJECT STATES THAT HE IS HAVING DIFFICULTY IN TRANSFERRING,				
BRESSING OR ALTERATION OF CLOTHES WITH BUTTONS DUE TO HIS MEDICAL CONDITION.				
Interim Accommodations Needs Reviewed: Interim Accommodation provided (List accommodation and date provided):				
ON 8/31/15, MEDICAL STAFF TO ASSIST SUBJECT ON AS NEEDED BASIS FOR TRANSFERRING/DRESSING/OBTAINING ITEMS.				
Summary of Inmate's 1824 Request: THE SUBJECT IS REQUESTING ASSISTANCE IN TRANSFERRING, DRESSING OR ALTERATION OF CLOTHES WITH BUTTONS, ASSISTANCE WITH PLACING SOCKS ON AND A THREE TIER SHELF.				
RAP is able to render a final decision.				
APPROVE WITH MODIFICATION				
ON 9/02/15, THE REASONABLE ACCOMODATION PANEL (RAP) HAS REVIEWED YOUR REQUEST. YOU HAVE BEEN APPROVED FOR A WHEELCHAIR ACCESSIBLE LOCKER.				
ON 8/31/15, THE CHCF APPEALS COORDINATOR INTERVIEWED YOU, IN WHICH YOU REQUESTED ASISSTANCE WITH PULLOVER SHIRTS, REACHING DOWN TO PLACE SOCKS AND SHOES ON AND GETTING ITEMS FROM THE FLOOR/SHELF. YOU FURTHER STATED WHEN YOU WARM UP, YOU GET BETTER MOVEMENT BUT IN THE MORNING AND AT NIGHT IT BECOMES MORE DIFICULT TO MOVE. YOU STATED THAT THE OFFICERS DO ASSIST YOU AND THAT YOU ARE ABLE TO ACCESS PROGRAMS AND SERVICES WITHOUT CONCERN.				
ON 8/31/15, THE CHCF APPEALS COORDINATOR INTERVIEWED CNA MOFOR. MOFOR STATED SHE ASSISTS YOU ON AN AS NEEDED BASIS. SHE HAS OBSERVED YOU MOVE IN OTHER ACTIVITIES SUCH AS FEEDING YOURSELF. SHE FURTHER STATED THAT SHE WILL INFORM OTHER STAFF TO ASSIST YOU IN THE INTERIM ON AN AS NEEDED BASIS.				
Additional information/instruction: THE SUBJECT IS ABLE TO SAFELY ACCESS ALL PROGRAMS, SERVICES AND ACTIVITIES.				
If you disagree with a health care decision made prior to or during the CDCR 1824 process, complete a CDCR 602-HC. If you disagree with any other RAP decision, complete a CDCR 602. Be sure to attach this document along with your CDCR 1824.				
J.A. Zamora Date sent to inmate: 9/2/2015				
ADA Coordinator \ Signature				
Staff processing instructions: Does delivery of response meet criteria to establish effective communication? No				
Accommodation Order required:				
Request alleges non-compliance of the Armstrong or Clark Remedial Plans. Allegation logged on Accountability Log. Distribution: Original – Inmate Copy – 1824 File Copy – 1				
Distribution: Original – Inmate Copy – 1824 File Copy – Miscellaneous Section of C-File Copy – Medical/Mental Health St				

09**99952026**gmgDoD**0**\$5153561FileFiled2152192/15InteFrederte/2512/93/16:5000631:12/16in long mode nt Certificate of Phydiaeof 28g 29 of 36

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): POS-020 FOR COURT USE ONLY Stocketon Ca 95213 Bax 32200 TELEPHONE NO FAX NO. (Optional): E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional). ATTORNEY FOR (Name): STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS CITY AND ZIP CODE BRANCH NAME PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF: Day Onsnow RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT: NEW GMC CASE NUMBER: PROOF OF PERSONAL SERVICE—CIVIL 09-50026-RE6 (Do not use this Proof of Service to show service of a Summons and Complaint.) 1. I am over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. Motion For Relief from Stay Objection to Reply Breek 2. I served the following documents (specify): The documents are listed in the Attachment to Proof of Personal Service—Civil (Documents Served) (form POS-020(D)). 3. I personally served the following persons at the address, date, and time stated:

a. Name: King & Spalding CV 1185 Ave of The Americal 10076-4007

New York NY 11/17/15 c. Date: d. Time: TPM The persons are listed in the Attachment to Proof of Personal Service—Civil (Persons Served) (form POS-020(P)). a. not a registered California process server. an employee or independent contractor of a a registered California process server. registered California process server. exempt from registration under Business & Professions Code section 22350(b). 5. My name, address, telephone number, and, if applicable, county of registration and number are (specify):

Oaved Revenues Box 32200 Stockton (C 95713) 6. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. I am a California sheriff or marshal and certify that the foregoing is true and correct.

(SIGNATURE OF PERSON WHO SERVED THE PAR

09-50026-mg Doc 13561 Filed 12/02/15 Entered 12/03/15 00:31:26 Imaged Certificate of Notice Pg 30 of 36

United States Bankruptcy Court Southern District of New York

In re: Motors Liquidation Company Debtor

Case No. 09-50026-reg Chapter 11

CERTIFICATE OF NOTICE

District/off: 0208-1 User: Inulty Page 1 of 7 Date Rcvd: Nov 30, 2015 Form ID: pdf001 Total Noticed: 0

Notice by first class mail was sent to the following persons/entities by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center on Dec 02, 2015. NO NOTICES MAILED.

Notice by electronic transmission was sent to the following persons/entities by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center. NONE.

***** BYPASSED RECIPIENTS (undeliverable, * duplicate) ***** unk Darryl Dunsmore

TOTALS: 1, * 0, ## 0

I, Joseph Speetjens, declare under the penalty of perjury that I have sent the attached document to the above listed entities in the manner shown, and prepared the Certificate of Notice and that it is true and correct to the best of my information and belief.

Meeting of Creditor Notices only (Official Form 9): Pursuant to Fed. R. Bank. P. 2002(a)(1), a notice containing the complete Social Security Number (SSN) of the debtor(s) was furnished to all parties listed. This official court copy contains the redacted SSN as required by the bankruptcy rules and the Judiciary's privacy policies.

Date: Dec 02, 2015 Signature: /s/Joseph Speetjens

CM/ECF NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

The following persons/entities were sent notice through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail (Email) system on November 30, 2015 at the address(es) listed below: ALEJANDRO ALERS, JR on behalf of Interested Party General Motors LLC alalersjr@att.net Abigail M. Stempson on behalf of Interested Party State of Nebraska, Attorney General's Office jalyn.wurm@nebraska.gov Adam J. Levitt, on behalf of Plaintiff John Morgenstein $\verb|tbibby@gelaw.com|; cnevers@gelaw.com|; jtangren@gelaw.com|$ Alan R. Brayton on behalf of Attorney Brayton Purcell LLP bankruptcy@braytonlaw.com Alexander H. Schmidt on behalf of Interested Party ABC Flooring, Inc. schmidt@whafh.com Andrea Sheehan on behalf of Creditor Carrollton Farmers Branch Independent School District sheehan@txschoollaw.com, coston@txschoollaw.com Andrew C. Kassner on behalf of Creditor Automotive Component Carriers LLC andrew.kassner@dbr.com Andrew K. Glenn on behalf of Defendant BBT Fund LP aglenn@kasowitz.com, courtnotices@kasowitz.com Andrew P. Propps on behalf of Interested Party Wells Fargo Bank Northwest, N.A., as agent for the TPC Lenders apropps@sidley.com, emcdonnell@sidley.com Angela Ferrante on behalf of Claims and Noticing Agent GCG, LLC ${\tt PACERTeam@gardencitygroup.com, \ debra.wolther@gcginc.com}$ Aric Wu on behalf of Trustee Wilmington Trust Company awu@gibsondunn.com, GGillett@gibsondunn.com Arthur Jay Steinberg on behalf of Interested Party General Motors LLC asteinberg@kslaw.com, sdavidson@kslaw.com; jasher@kslaw.com Austin L. McMullen on behalf of Creditor Knowledge Learning Corporation amcmullen@babc.com Barbara J. Parker on behalf of Defendant City of Oakland Police & Fire Retirement System

bparker@oaklandcityattorney.org, jsmith@oaklandcityattorney.org on behalf of Creditor International Union of Operating Engineers ("IUOE") Barbara S Mehlsack and IUOE Locals 101, 18s, 832s bmehlsack@gkllaw.com

Barry A. Weprin on behalf of Plaintiff Donna M. Trusky bweprin@milberg.com

Barry M. Kazan on behalf of Creditor Stanley Black & Decker, Inc.

Barry.Kazan@ThompsonHine.com, Docket@thompsonhine.com

Barry M. Lasky on behalf of Unknown Atlas Technologies, Inc. BMLPC@aol.com on behalf of Plaintiff Motors Liquidation Company GUC Trust Barry N. Seidel

seidelb@dicksteinshapiro.com, nybankruptcyd Benjamin Rosenblum on behalf of Defendant nybankruptcydocketing@dicksteinshapiro.com

Benjamin Rosenblum The Ad Hoc Group of Term Lenders

brosenblum@jonesday.com

Benjamin P. Deutsch on behalf of Creditor Ad Hoc Committee of Consumer Victims of General Motors bdeutsch@schnader.com

Brendan M. Scott on behalf of Defendant Phoenix Edge SRS-Multi-Sector Fixed Income Series bscott@klestadt.com

Bruce R. Zirinsky on behalf of Defendant DbX Risk Arbitrage 1 Fund, Lyxor/Paulson International Fund Limited, Paulson Enhanced Ltd., Paulson International Ltd., Paulson Partners

Enhanced, L.P., and Paulson Partners L.P. zirinskyb@gtlaw.com

Bruce R. Zirinsky on behalf of Defendant Drawbridge DSO Securities LLC zirinskyb@gtlaw.com

Bruce W. Hoover on behalf of Interested Party The Quaker Oats Company

bhoover@goldbergsegalla.com, jsymack@goldbergsegalla.com;rbraden@goldbergsegalla.com

Carol A. Felicetta on behalf of Creditor Barnes Group Inc. cfelicetta@reidandriege.com

09-50026-mg Doc 13561 Filed 12/02/15 Entered 12/03/15 00:31:26 Imaged Certificate of Notice Pg 31 of 36

District/off: 0208-1 User: lnultv Page 2 of 7 Date Royd: Nov 30, 2015 Form ID: pdf001 Total Noticed: 0 The following persons/entities were sent notice through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail (Email) system (continued) Carol E. Momjian on behalf of Creditor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Revenue cmomjian@attorneygeneral.gov Christopher K. Kiplok on behalf of Unknown Medianews Group, Inc. kiplok@hugheshubbard.com on behalf of Defendant City of Oakland Police & Fire Retirement System Colin T Bowen cbowen@oaklandcityattorney.org Cynthia Jordan Lowery on behalf of Creditor Hagemeyer, N.A. cynthialowery@mvalaw.com Daniel Edelson on behalf of Plaintiff Donna M. Trusky daniel.edelson@kattenlaw.com
Daniel J Hornal on behalf of Unknown Celestine Elliott daniel@taloslaw.com, peller@law.georgetown.edu Daniel L. Keller on behalf of Unknown Keller, Fishback & Jackson LLP dkeller@kflegal.com Daniel W. Linna, Jr. on behalf of Interested Party General Motors LLC dlinna@honigman.cc David Molton on behalf of Unknown The People of the State of California, acting by and General Motors LLC dlinna@honigman.com through Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas hsteel@brownrudnick.com;acarty@brownrudnick.com;MJackson@brownrudnick.com;acunningham@brownrudnic k.com David A. Rosenzweig on behalf of Attorney Fulbright & Jaworski LLP david.rosenzweig@nortonrosefulbright.com David B. Owens on behalf of Unknown Roger Dean Gillispie david@loevy.com, melinda@loevy.com;blake@loevy.com David B. Wheeler on behalf of Creditor Hagemeyer, N.A. davidwheeler@mvalaw.com
David G. Aelvoet on behalf of Creditor Bexar County sanantonio.bankruptcy@publicans.com David G. Ebert on behalf of Unknown Shepardson Stern & Kaminsky, LLC (SS&K) debert@ingramllp.com, mtajika@ingramllp.com David Henry Hartheimer on behalf of Interested Party Clarcor, Inc. dhartheimer@wilkauslander.com David J Cohen on behalf of Unknown Karen Bloom dcohen@kolmanlaw.net, dcohenlaw@comcast.net David N. Crapo on behalf of Interested Party J.D. Power and Associates dcrapo@gibbonslaw.com David R. Berz on behalf of Debtor Motors Liquidation Company gregory.bailey@weil.com David S. Jones on behalf of Defendant United States Department of Treasury david.jones6@usdoj.gov Dawn R. Copley on behalf of Creditor Johnson Controls, Inc. dcopley@dickinsonwright.com, dnavin@dickinsonwright.com Deborah L. Fish on behalf of Creditor Overhead Conveyor Company dfish@allardfishpc.cc
Debra A. Kowich on behalf of Creditor Board of Regents of The University of Michigan Overhead Conveyor Company dfish@allardfishpc.com dkowich@umich.edu Denis Dice on behalf of Defendant DE-SEI Institutional Investment Trust - High Yield Bond Fund dcdice@mdwcg.com Dennis J. Connolly on behalf of Interested Party Autoliv ASP, Inc. dconnolly@alston.com on behalf of Unknown Aspen Insurance UK Limited ddrebsky@nixonpeabody.com, Dennis J. Drebsky nyc.managing.clerk@nixonpeabody.com;apabon@nixonpeabody.com;cfong@nixonpeabody.com Dennis Jay Raterink on behalf of Creditor Michigan Funds Administration raterinkd@michigan.gov, bannisters@michigan.gov Dianna Lyons on behalf of Interested Party Ka Kazan McClain Asbestos Claimants dlyons@kazanlaw.com Dominic J. Picca on behalf of Unknown Dale Earnhardt, Inc. dpicca@mintz.com, Docketing@mintz.com Donald F. Baty, Jr. on behalf of Debtor Douglas B. Rosner on behalf of Creditor Motors Liquidation Company dbaty@honigman.com 767 Fifth Partners LLC drosner@goulstonstorrs.com Douglas Gregory Blankinship on behalf of Creditor Lisa Phaneuf gblankinship@fbfglaw.com Eamonn O'Hagan on behalf of Attorney Hilliard Munoz Gonzales LLP and Thomas J. Henry Injury Attorney eohagan@goodwinprocter.com Edward Smith on behalf of Creditor Camino Real Chevrolet, Inc. easmith@venable.com, NYBankruptcyDocketing@venable.com Edward A. Friedman on behalf of Defendant Aurelius Investment LLC efriedman@fklaw.com, vgarvey@fklaw.com; jshaw@fklaw.com Edward F. Haber on behalf of Defendant Reams City of Montgomery Alabama Employees Retirement System ehaber@shulaw.com, filing@shulaw.com;mblauner@shulaw.com;pvallely@shulaw.com Elihu Inselbuch on behalf of Attorney Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered eb@capdale.com Elizabeth Austin on behalf of Defendant State of Connecticut ea@pullcom.com, jgrossarth@pullcom.com Elizabeth Weller on behalf of Creditor Cameron County dallas.bankruptcy@publicans.com, evelyn.palmer@lgbs.com Elizabeth K. Flaagan on behalf of Creditor Oxbow Carbon & Minerals LLC elizabeth.flaagan@faegrebd.com, carol.wildt@faegrebd.com,brad.dempsey@faegrebd.com Elliot Moskowitz on behalf of Defendant Arrowgrass Master Fund Ltd elliot.moskowitz@dpw.com, ecf.ct.papers@davispolk.com Emil A. Kleinhaus on behalf of Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. eakleinhaus@wlrk.com, calert@wlrk.com Eric Fisher on behalf of Creditor Committee Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of

> tclancy@schnader.com
> Eugene J. Chikowski on behalf of Interested Party American Express Travel Related Services Company, Inc. eugene.chikowski@flastergreenberg.com

Ad Hoc Committee of Consumer Victims of General Motors

Eric Fisher on behalf of Plaintiff Motors Liquidation Company Avoidance Action Trust fishere@dicksteinshapiro.com, nybankruptcydocketing@dicksteinshapiro.com
Eric A. Goldberg on behalf of Unknown Seneca Insurance Company, Inc. eg@kahngoldberg.com
Eric Alwin Boden on behalf of Creditor Ad Hoc Committee of Consumer Victims of General Mo

General Motors Corporation fishere@dicksteinshapiro.com,

nybankruptcydocketing@dicksteinshapiro.com

```
District/off: 0208-1
                                      User: lnultv
                                                                          Page 3 of 7
                                                                                                               Date Royd: Nov 30, 2015
                                      Form ID: pdf001
                                                                          Total Noticed: 0
The following persons/entities were sent notice through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail (Email)
system (continued)
                  Evan J. Zucker on behalf of Plaintiff Motors Liquidation Company Avoidance Action Trust
                   zuckere@dicksteinshapiro.com,
                   nybankruptcydocketing@dicksteinshapiro.com;oneila@dicksteinshapiro.com
                  Frank McGinn
                                     on behalf of Creditor Iron Mountain Information Management, Inc.
                   ffm@bostonbusinesslaw.com
                  Frank W. DiCastri on behalf of Creditor Webasto Roof Systems Inc. fdicastri@foley.com
Frederick Perillo on behalf of Creditor International Ass'n of Machinists & Aerospace
                   Workers (IAMAW) fp@previant.com
                  Gary Peller on behalf of Attorney Gary Peller peller@law.georgetown.edu
Gary Ticoll on behalf of Defendant Drawbridge DSO Securities LLC ticollg@gtlaw.com
German Yusufov on behalf of Creditor PIMA COUNTY pcaocvbk@pcao.pima.gov
                  Gerrit M. Pronske on behalf of Plaintiff Boyd Bryant gpronske@pronskepatel.com
Gordon J. Toering on behalf of Defendant Alticor Inc gtoering@wnj.com
                 Gregory Oxford on behalf of Counter-Claimant General Motors Company goxford@icclawfirm.com
Gregory K. Arenson on behalf of Unknown Kimi L. Hurst garenson@kaplanfox.com
Gregory W. Fox on behalf of Attorney Hilliard Munoz Gonzales LLP and Thomas J. Henry Injury
                   Attorney gfox@goodwinprocter.com
                                                 on behalf of Unknown Patrice Witherspoon sdabney@kslaw.com,
                  H. Slayton Dabney, Jr.
                   rtrowbridge@kslaw.com
                  Hanh V. Huynh on behalf of Transferee Kayson 48 Corp. hhuynh@herrick.com,
                   courtnotices@herrick.com
                  Harold S. Novikoff on behalf of Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. hsnovikoff@wlrk.com,
                   calert@wlrk.com
                  Harry A. Light on behalf of Defendant General Motors Corporation light@fec.net
                  Harvey A. Strickon on behalf of Unknown Rolls-Royce plc and Rolls-Royce Corporation
                   harveystrickon@paulhastings.com
                  Harvey R. Miller on behalf of Debtor Motors Liquidation Company garrett.fail@weil.com
                  Heather M. Crockett on behalf of Defendant State of Indiana Major Moves
                   heather.crockett@atg.in.gov
                  Henry A. Efroymson on behalf of Creditor Honeywell International Inc.
                   henry.efroymson@icemiller.com
                  J Eric Charlton on behalf of Creditor Autoport Limited echarlton@barclaydamon.com,
                   rjones@barclaydamon.com
                  J. Alex Kress on behalf of Creditor KONE, Inc. and KONE Elevators akress@becker.legal,
                   jalexkress@gmail.com
                  J. Casey Roy on behalf of Interested Party
                                                                              The State of Texas on Behalf of The Texas
                  Department of Transportation, Motor Vehicle Division casey.roy@oag.state.tx.us

Jacob F. Lamme on behalf of Creditor St. Regis Mohawk Tribe lamme@mltw.com
                  James B. Helmer, Jr. on behalf of Creditor Roger L Sanders jhelmer@fcalawfirm.com,
                   wdiggs@fcalawfirm.com
                  James Christopher Caldwell on behalf of Creditor Satterlund Supply Company
                   ccaldwell@starkreagan.com
                  James D. Newbold on behalf of Interested Party State of Illinois James.Newbold@illinois.gov
                 James E. DeLine on behalf of Creditor AVL Americas, Inc. jed@krwlaw.com, pal@krwlaw.com

James E. Hough on behalf of Defendant Citigroup Global Markets Inc. jhough@mofo.com

James M. Martin on behalf of Unknown Frank L. Pugh mmllaw@swbell.net

James Michael Lawniczak on behalf of Creditor Carolina Forge Company jlawniczak@calfee.com
                  Jason A. Zweig on behalf of Unknown State of Arizona ex rel. Mark Brnovich, the Attorney
                   General jzweig@kaplanfox.com
                  Jayson B. Ruff on behalf of Creditor Swagelok Company jruff@mcdonaldhopkins.com
                  Jeanette M. Gilbert on behalf of Creditor L.C. Jackson jgilbert@motleyrice.com
                  Jeff Klusmeier on behalf of Creditor State of Missouri jeff.klusmeier@ago.mo.gov,
                  Michelle.Hirschvogel@ago.mo.gov
                  Jeffrey Rhodes on behalf of Unknown Motors Liquidation Company Avoidance Action Trust
                   bankruptcy-jr@dsmo.com; canavanp@dicksteinshapiro.com
                  Jeffrey C. Wisler on behalf of Unknown Connecticut General Life Insurance Company and related
                   CIGNA entities jwisler@connollygallagher.com
                  Jeffrey J. Jones on behalf of Defendant General Motors LLC jjjones@jonesday.com

Jeffrey S. Sabin on behalf of Interested Party Deutsche Bank AG JSSabin@Venable.com

Jeffrey S. Stein on behalf of Claims and Noticing Agent Garden City Group, Inc
                   PACERTeam@gardencitygroup.com, michelle.murphy@gcginc.com
                  Jeffrey S. Stein on behalf of Claims and Noticing Agent GCG, Inc
PACERTeam@gardencitygroup.com, michelle.murphy@gcginc.com
Jeffrey T. Wegner on behalf of Creditor Kansas City Board of Public Utilities
                   jeffrey.wegner@kutakrock.com, marybeth.brukner@kutakrock.com
                  Jennifer Lauren Saffer on behalf of Creditor jlsaffer@jlsaffer.com, vjohnson@jlsaffer.com
                                                                                TMI Custom Air Systems, Inc.
                  Jessica Fainman on behalf of Unknown Barclays Bank PLC jessica.fainman@barclayscapital.com
Joan M Blackwell on behalf of Defendant State of Indiana Major Moves joan.blackwell@atg.in.gov
                  Joel Wertman on behalf of Defendant DE-SEI Institutional Investment Trust - High Yield Bond
                   Fund jmwertman@mdwcg.com
                  John F. Carberry on behalf of Creditor Emigrant Business Credit Corp. jcarberry@cl-law.com
                  John F. Carberry on behalf of Creditor
John F. Kostelnik on behalf of Unknown
                                          on behalf of Unknown
on behalf of Creditor
```

John J. Privitera

hill@mltw.com;lamme@mltw.com

Avery Dennison jkostelnik@frantzward.com St. Regis Mohawk Tribe privitera@mltw.com,

John M. Callagy on behalf of Defendant J.P. Morgan Whitefriars Inc. jcallagy@kelleydrye.com

09-50026-mg Doc 13561 Filed 12/02/15 Entered 12/03/15 00:31:26 Imaged Certificate of Notice Pg 33 of 36

District/off: 0208-1 User: lnulty Page 4 of 7 Date Rcvd: Nov 30, 2015 Form ID: pdf001 Total Noticed: 0

```
The following persons/entities were sent notice through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail (Email)
system (continued)
                John M. Callagy on behalf of Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. jcallagy@kelleydrye.co
John P. Dillman on behalf of Creditor Angelina County houston_bankruptcy@publicans.com
                                                                JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. jcallagy@kelleydrye.com
                John T. Banks on behalf of Unknown Hidalgo County jbanks@pbfcm.com, jbanks@ecf.inforuptcy.com
John T. Gregg on behalf of Creditor Continental Tire North America, Inc. jgregg@btlaw.com
                Jonathan Bradley Alter on behalf of Unknown
                                                                     Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America
                 jonathan.alter@bingham.com
                Jonathan I. Rabinowitz on behalf of Attorney Jonathan I. Rabinowitz jrabinowitz@rltlawfirm.com,
                ypalmeri@rltlawfirm.com
                Jonathan L. Flaxer on behalf of Interested Party ABC Flooring, Inc. jflaxer@golenbock.com,
                 \verb"eneuman@golenbock.com"; \verb"mweinstein@golenbock.com""
                Joon P. Hong on behalf of Attorney Richards Kibbe & Orbe LLP joonhong@chapman.com
                Jordan E. Stern on behalf of Defendant Wells Cap Mgmt - 13923601 jstern@beckerglynn.com,
                 saltreuter@beckerglynn.com; jxue@beckerglynn.com
                Joseph A. Dworetzky on behalf of Plaintiff NCR Corporation jad@hangley.com
                Joseph B. Koczko on behalf of Unknown Linden Development, LLC joseph.koczko@thompsonhine.com
Joseph H. Lemkin on behalf of Defendant Alticor Inc jlemkin@stark-stark.com
                Joseph H. Smolinsky on behalf of Defendant Motors Liquidation Company
                 Joseph.Smolinsky@weil.com,
                 matthew.goren@weil.com;katherine.doorley@weil.com;mario.ventura@weil.com
                Joseph M. Cerra on behalf of Creditor Affiliated Computer Services of Spain SL
                 jcerra@formanlaw.com
                Joseph N. Cordaro on behalf of Unknown United States Of America joseph.cordaro@usdoj.gov
                Joseph R. Sgroi on behalf of Debtor Motors Liquidation Company jagroi@honigman.com
                Joshua Paul Davis on behalf of Unknown Dori Powledge josh@thejdfirm.com,
                maria@thejdfirm.com; kelly@thejdfirm.com
                Judy B. Calton on behalf of Attorney
                                                              Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP
                 icalton@honigman.com
                Julianne Cutruzzula Beil
                                              on behalf of Unknown Carolyn Rickard jbeil@cnlawfirm.net
                Justin S. Brooks on behalf of Unknown Grant & Eisenhofer P.A., Baron & Budd, P.C. and the
                 Cooper Law Firm as counsel for certain class action cases jbrooks@gelaw.com
                Karon Y. Wright on behalf of Creditor c/o Karon Y. Wright Travis County
                karon.wright@co.travis.tx.us, bkecf@co.travis.tx.us
Kathleen H. Klaus on behalf of Unknown Mtech Associates, LLC khk@maddinhauser.com
                             on behalf of Unknown Wells Fargo Bank Northwest, N.A., as Agent to the TPC Lenders
                Ken Kansa
                kkansa@sidley.com
                Kenneth Ziman on behalf of Creditor
                                                              TPI Incorporated kziman@stblaw.com
                Kenneth C Anthony, Jr. on behalf of Unknown Kenneth Anthony kanthony@anthonylaw.com,
                 janthony@anthonylaw.com
                Kevin Blaney on behalf of Attorney Kevin Blaney kblaney@kevinblaney.com,
                 bmorehead@kevinblaney.com
                Kim Martin Lewis on behalf of Interested Party Convergys Corporation kim.lewis@dinslaw.com,
                 john.persiani@dinslaw.com;lisa.geeding@dinslaw.com;patrick.burns@dinslaw.com
                Kimberly Salomon on behalf of Creditor Rose Cole ksalomon@formanlaw.com
               Kirk L. Brett on behalf of Defendant Credit Suisse AG kbrett@dsllp.com
Larry A. Levick on behalf of Creditor Affiliated Computer Services, Inc
                                                              Affiliated Computer Services, Inc.
                levick@singerlevick.com, croote@singerlevick.com;scotton@singerlevick.com
Larry E. Parres on behalf of Unknown Leggett and Platt lparres@lewisrice.com
                Lauren Beslow on behalf of Creditor United Parcel Service, Inc. Lauren.Beslow@quarles.com Lawrence P. Eagel on behalf of Unknown County of Bastrop, et al. eagel@bragarwexler.com
                Leonora K. Baughman on behalf of Creditor
                                                                    City of Detroit ecf@kaalaw.com
                Leslie Levy on behalf of Interested Party
                                                                    State of Nebraska, Attorney General's Office
                 leora.platte@nebraska.gov
                Lisa H. Rubin on behalf of Trustee Wilmington Trust Company lrubin@gibsondunn.com,
                MAO@gibsondunn.com
                Lorraine S. McGowen
                                        on behalf of Defendant
                                                                     Banca di Credito Cooperativo di Roma Societa
                 Cooperativa lmcgowen@orrick.com, dfelder@orrick.com
                Lynn M. Brimer on behalf of Creditor Pioneer Steel Corporation lbrimer@stroblpc.com
Marc B. Merklin on behalf of Creditor FirstEnergy Corporation mmerklin@brouse.com
               Marc H. Edelson on behalf of Plaintiff Donna M. Trusky medelson@edelson-law.com
Marc N. Swanson on behalf of Creditor Kongsberg Automotive Holdings ASA
                 swansonm@millercanfield.com
                Margreta Morgulas, on behalf of Creditor
                                                                    West Covina Motors, Inc. mmorgulas@okinhollander.com
                Maria A. Bove on behalf of Unknown Certain Class Action Plaintiffs mbove@pszjlaw.com,
                 dharris@pszjlaw.com;mbove@pszjlaw.com
                Maria Elena Douvas on behalf of Defendant Appaloosa Investment Limited Partnership I
                mariadouvas@paulhastings.com
                Marianne Goldstein Robbins on behalf of Creditor
                                                                            International Ass'n of Machinists &
               Aerospace Workers (IAMAW) MGR@PREVIANT.COM, MGR@PREVIANT.COM, EM@PREVIANT.COM Maricel E.V. Skiles on behalf of Defendant State of Indiana Major Moves
                maricel.skiles@atg.in.gov, Heather.Crockett@atg.in.gov;Stephanie.Patrick@atg.in.gov
                Mark Schlachet on behalf of Plaintiff Alante Carpenter individually and on behalf of all
                 others similarly situated mschlachet@gmail.com
                Mark E. McKane on behalf of Plaintiff New United Motors Manufacturing, Inc.
                mmckane@kirkland.com, beth.friedman@kirkland.com;sarah.farley@kirkland.com
                Mark L. Brown on behalf of Counter-Defendant LakinChapman LLC markb@slchapman.com
Mark P. Robinson, Jr. on behalf of Unknown The People of the State of California, acting by
```

and through Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas mrobinson@rcrlaw.net,

beachlawver51@hotmail.com

09-50026-mg Doc 13561 Filed 12/02/15 Entered 12/03/15 00:31:26 Imaged Certificate of Notice Pg 34 of 36

District/off: 0208-1 User: lnulty Page 5 of 7 Date Rcvd: Nov 30, 2015

Form ID: pdf001 Total Noticed: 0 The following persons/entities were sent notice through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail (Email) system (continued) Mark Russell Owens on behalf of Creditor Hirata Corporation of America mowens@btlaw.com, mowens@btlaw.com;bankruptcyindy@btlaw.com Mark S. Frankel on behalf of Creditor Commercial Contracting Corporation mfrankel@couzens.com
Mark T. Power on behalf of Defendant Bechtel Trust & Thrift Plan MPower@HahnHessen.com, jcerbone@hahnhessen.com;jzawadzki@hahnhessen.com;jorbach@hahnhessen.com;sthompson@hahnhessen.com; aladd@hahnhessen.com;chunker@hahnhessen.com;sgryll@hahnhessen.com Marshall C. Turner on behalf of Creditor Martin Krolewski on behalf of Defendant Newport Television marshall.turner@husch.com JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. mkrolewski@kelleydrye.com, docketing@kelleydrye.com;BankruptcyCourt@KelleyDrye.com Martin James Weis on behalf of Creditor Medco Health Solutions, Inc. weismj@dilworthlaw.com Marvin E. Clements, Jr. on behalf of Creditor Tennessee Board of Regents-Columbia State Community College agbanknewyork@ag.tn.gov Mary Kay Shaver on behalf of Creditor ADAC Plastics, Inc. mkshaver@varnumlaw.com Matthew Williams on behalf of Unknown Motors Liquidation Company GUC Trust mjwilliams@gibsondunn.com, sweiner@gibsondunn.com; akeats@gibsondunn.com; amoskowitz@gibsondunn.com; DFeldman@gibsondunn.com; akeats@gibsondunn.com; akeats@gibsonMatthew A Macdonald on behalf of Unknown Northern Trust Investments, Inc., as Named Fiduciary to the Central States, Southeast, and Southwest Areas Pension Fund matthew.macdonald@mto.com, gigi.ruegsegger@mto.com Matthew A. Hamermesh on behalf of Creditor NCR Corporation mhamermesh@hangley.com
Matthew E. Wright on behalf of Unknown Dolly Walton mwright@hmdlawl.com, tscott@hmdlawl.com Matthew F. Kye on behalf of Creditor Heard Robins Cloud & Black LLP mkye@magnozzikye.com Matthew J. Riopelle on behalf of Plaintiff Toyota Motor Corporation mriopelle@foley.com
Matthew K. Beatman on behalf of Unknown Westfalia-Automotive GMBH mbeatman@zeislaw.com Maureen F. Leary on behalf of Unknown New York State Department of Environmental Conservation maureen.leary@oag.state.ny.us Melissa Z. Neier on behalf of Interested Party Sonic Automotive, Inc. mneier@ibolaw.com Michael A. Maricco on behalf of Creditor Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation efile@pbgc.gov
Michael A. Nedelman on behalf of Creditor Crown Enterprises Inc. mnedelman@nglegal.com
Compania Sud Americana de Vapores S.A. mclambert@lawpost-nyc.com Michael E. Norton on behalf of Creditor Michael G. Cruse on behalf of Creditor Michael J. Pendell on behalf of Unknown Plaintiffs mpendell@motleyrice.com Michael James Edelman on behalf of Creditor Export Development Canada mjedelman@vedderprice.com, ecfnydocket@vedderprice.com Michael K. Cross on behalf of Defendant Green Hunt Wedlake, Inc., as trustee for General Motors Nova Scotia Finance Company mcross@akingump.com Michael M. Krauss on behalf of Defendant Indiana University michael.krauss@faegrebd.com
Michael R. Enright on behalf of Creditor Carrier Corporation menright@rc.com
Michael R. Wernette on behalf of Attorney CIE Celaya, S.A. de C.V. mwernette@schaferandweiner.com Michael S. Davis on behalf of Unknown American International Specialty Lines Insurance Co. and other entities related to Chartis, Inc. mdavis@zeklaw.com, mmccarthy@zeklaw.com;rguttmann@zeklaw.com;mmillnamow@zeklaw.com Michael S. Etkin on behalf of Interested Party Plaintiff and Putative Class re: Peggy Sue Jones, et al. v. General Motors, LLC and Larry Darby, et al. v. General Motors, LLC and Delphi Automotive PLLC metkin@lowenstein.com, mseymour@lowenstein.com Michael S. Holmes on behalf of Creditor River Oaks L-M, Inc. dba Westpoint mshpclaw@gmail.com, mshatty@yahoo.com Michael T. Conway on behalf of Creditor Detroit Diesel Corporation michael.conway@leclairryan.com Michele Angell on behalf of Unknown Ad Hoc Group of Term Lenders mangell@kasowitz.com, courtnotices@kasowitz.com Michelle Goldis on behalf of Unknown Lowe's Companies, Inc. michelle.goldis@wilmerhale.com Michelle T. Sutter on behalf of Creditor Ohio Attorney General msutter@ag.state.oh.us N. Kathleen Strickland on behalf of Creditor Remy International, Inc. kstrickland@rmkb.com
Nan E. Joesten on behalf of Interested Party General Motors Retiree Association njoesten@fbm.com Neil Andrew Goteiner on behalf of Interested Party General Motors Retiree Association ngoteiner@fbm.com, calendar@fbm.com;karentsen@fbm.com Nicholas Heath Wooten on behalf of Unknown Dianne Ashworth nick@nickwooten.com, notices@nickwooten.com;linnea@nickwooten.com Oren Giskan on behalf of Plaintiff Rodolfo Fidel Mendoza ogiskan@gslawny.com Oscar B. Fears, III on behalf of Creditor Georgia Department of Revenue bfears@law.ga.gov Oscar N. Pinkas on behalf of Defendant Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce oscar.pinkas@dentons.com Otis McGee, Jr. on behalf of Defendant City of Oakland Police & Fire Retirement System omcgeejr@oaklandcityattorney.org P. Warren Hunt on behalf of Creditor AVL Americas, Inc. pwh@krwlaw.com
Patrick E. Mears on behalf of Creditor M-Heat Investors, LLC patrick.mears@btlaw.com
Patrick G. Warner on behalf of Plaintiff John Morgenstein pgwarn@climacolaw.com Patrick J. Orr on behalf of Unknown MLC Asbestos PI Trust tklestadt@klestadt.com;kgarofalo@klestadt.com Patrick J. Trostle on behalf of Debtor Motors Liquidation Company ptrostle@jenner.com

Paul H. Silverman

PSilverman@mclaughlinstern.com

Paul J. Pascuzzi on behalf of Creditor

on behalf of Creditor

Class of Saturn Consumers

The McClatchy Company ppascuzzi@ffwplaw.com

```
District/off: 0208-1
                                       User: lnultv
                                                                            Page 6 of 7
                                                                                                                 Date Royd: Nov 30, 2015
                                       Form ID: pdf001
                                                                            Total Noticed: 0
The following persons/entities were sent notice through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail (Email)
system (continued)
                  Paul J. Ricotta on behalf of Creditor Hitachi Automotive Products (USA), Inc.
                   pricotta@mintz.com, docketing@mintz.com
                  Peter D'Apice on behalf of Unknown Grant & Eisenhofer P.A., Baron & Budd, P.C. and the
                    Cooper Law Firm as counsel for certain class action cases dapice@sbep-law.com
                  Peter D'Apice on behalf of Creditor Ad Hoc Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants
                   dapice@sbep-law.com
                  Peter Gregory Schwed on behalf of Unknown Deloitte Tax LLP gschwed@loeb.com,
                    tcummins@loeb.com
                  Peter S. Partee on behalf of Interested Party DTE Pontiac North, LLC ppartee@hunton.com
                                         on behalf of Creditor Willette Acquisition Corp., a/k/a Digital Technologies
                  Phillip W. Bohl
                   and Allied Vaughn phillip.bohl@gpmlaw.com
                  R. Christopher Cataldo on behalf of Plaintiff
                                                                                   MCM Management Corp. ccataldo@jaffelaw.com
                  R. Hugh Stephens on behalf of Creditor Stephens & Stephens, LLP hstephens@stephens.com
Renee M. Dailey on behalf of Creditor Georg Fischer Automotive AG renee.dailey@bgllp.com
Richard David Lane on behalf of Defendant DE-SEI Institutional Investment Trust - High Yield
                    Bond Fund rdlane@mdwcg.com
                  Richard E. Kruger on behalf of Creditor BMW Group rkruger@jaffelaw.com
Richard L. Epling on behalf of Creditor Financial Engines Advisors L.L.C.
                    richard.epling@pillsburylaw.com
                  Richard L. Ferrell on behalf of Creditor EnovaPremier of Michigan LLC Ferrell@taftlaw.com Richardo I. Kilpatrick on behalf of Creditor City of Detroit ecf@kaalaw.com Robert Honeywell on behalf of Defendant Ivy Fund Inc.-High Income Fund
                   robert.honeywell@klgates.com, brian.koosed@klgates.com
                  Robert Sidorsky on behalf of Creditor A Raymond, Inc. sidorsky@butzel.com
Robert B. Weiss on behalf of Debtor Motors Liquidation Company rweiss@honigman.com
Robert D. Gordon on behalf of Creditor ATS Automation Tooling Systems Inc.
                  Robert D. Gordon
                                          on behalf of Creditor ATS Automation Tooling Systems, Inc.
                   rgordon@clarkhill.com
                  Robert D. Wolford on behalf of Creditor Benteler Automotive Corp.
                    ecfwolfordr@millerjohnson.com
                  Robert H. Brownlee on behalf of Creditor c/o Robert Brownlee Maritz Holdings Inc.
                    rbrownlee@thompsoncoburn.com
                  Robert L. LeHane on behalf of Creditor LBA Realty Fund III Company IX, LLC
                   KDWBankruptcyDepartment@Kelleydrye.com; MVicinanza@ecf.inforuptcy.com
                  Robert T. Schmidt on behalf of Plaintiff Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of Motors
                  Liquidation Company, et al. rschmidt@kramerlevin.com
Robert W. Phillips on behalf of Interested Party
                                                                                        Certain Mesothelioma Claimants
                   rphillips@simmonscooper.com
                  Roland Hwang on behalf of Unknown Michigan Department of Energy, Labor & Economic Growth,
                   Unemployment Insurance Agency hwangr@michigan.gov
                  Ronald Jay Smolow on behalf of Plaintiff Donna M. Trusky ron@smolow.com, pat@smolow.com
Ronald S. Pretekin on behalf of Creditor Harco Manufacturing Group LLC piatt@coollaw.com
Russell Carl Babcock on behalf of Unknown The Estate of Kathleen Pillars, Deceased
                   russellbabcock@aol.com, marylynnmcphail@yahoo.com
                  Ryan D. Heilman on behalf of Attorney BASF Corporation rheilman@schaferandweiner.com S. Alyssa Young on behalf of Plaintiff Barbara Allen ayoung@leaderberkon.com Sarah L. Prutzman on behalf of Defendant Citigroup Global Markets Inc. slp4@mofo.com,
                   docketny@mofo.com
                  Sarah M. Chen on behalf of Unknown Praxair Distribution Inc. schen@lockelord.com,
                   docket@lockelord.com
                  Sarah Sandok Rabinovici on behalf of Creditor SKF USA Inc. rabinovicis@pepperlaw.com Scott A. Golden on behalf of Interested Party News America Incorporated sagolden@hhlaw.com
                  Scott I. Davidson on behalf of Defendant General Motors Co. sdavidson@kslaw.com
                  Scott I. Davidson on behalf of Interested Party General Motors LLC sdavidson@kslaw.com
Scott J. Freedman on behalf of Attorney Dilworth Paxson LLP sfreedman@dilworthlaw.com
Scott L. Esbin on behalf of Transferee Pandora Select Partners, LP
                  bankruptcyinfo@esbinalter.com, bankruptcyinfo@esbinalter.com
Scott N. Brown, Jr. on behalf of Creditor Hamilton County Trustee snb@smrw.com
Sean E. O'Donnell on behalf of Defendant Green Hunt Wedlake, Inc., as trustee for General
                    Motors Nova Scotia Finance Company sodonnell@akingump.com,
                    nymco@akingump.com;sspector@akingump.com
                  Sean E. O'Donnell on behalf of Unknown
                                                                           Green Hunt Wedlake, Inc., Trustee
                    sodonnell@akingump.com, nymco@akingump.com;sspector@akingump.com
                  Selia M Warren on behalf of Defendant City of Oakland Police & Fire Retirement System
                    swarren@oaklandcityattorney.org
                  Serge Ambroise on behalf of Plaintiff AFL-CIO sambroise@kjmlabor.com Sharon L. Stolte on behalf of Creditor Haldex Credit Services Corp.
                    sharon.stolte@stinsonleonard.com
                  Shaya M. Berger on behalf of Unknown Motors Liquidation Company GUC Trust
                   bergers@dicksteinshapiro.com
                  Stanley B. Tarr on behalf of Interested Party Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless on
                    behalf of itself and its controlled affiliates tarr@blankrome.com
                  Stanley B. Tarr on behalf of Defendant PNC Bank, National Association tarr@blankrome.com Stephen M. Gross on behalf of Attorney McDonald Hopkins PLC sgross@mcdonaldhopkins.com
                  Stephen S. LaPlante on behalf of Interested Party
                                                                                         Ford Motor Company
                    laplante@millercanfield.com
                   Steve Berman on behalf of Unknown Ignition Switch Plaintiffs steve@hbsslaw.com,
```

Steven A. Ginther on behalf of Creditor Missouri Department of Revenue sdnyecf@dor.mo.gov

heatherw@hbsslaw.com,carrie@hbsslaw.com

09-50026-mg Doc 13561 Filed 12/02/15 Entered 12/03/15 00:31:26 Imaged Certificate of Notice Pg 36 of 36

District/off: 0208-1 User: lnultv Page 7 of 7 Date Royd: Nov 30, 2015 Form ID: pdf001 Total Noticed: 0

The following persons/entities were sent notice through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail (Email) system (continued)

Steven B. Eichel on behalf of Defendant Intesa Sanpaolo Private Banking SPA

seichel@crowell.com

Steven B. Eichel on behalf of Creditor Winkelmann Sp. z.o.o. se@robinsonbrog.com Steven B. Flancher on behalf of Interested Party Michael A. Cox Attorney General on behalf of Interested Party Michael A. Cox Attorney General for the State of Michigan flanchers@michigan.gov

Steven B. Soll on behalf of Unknown Certain Class Action Plaintiffs ssoll@oshr.com, awilliams@oshr.com;asilverstein@otterbourg.com;swells@otterbourg.com

Steven Harris Blatt on behalf of Unknown Mount Kisco Chevrolet Cadillac Hummer, Inc. sblatt@dealerlaw.com

Steven J. Reisman on behalf of Defendant DbX Risk Arbitrage 1 Fund, Lyxor/Paulson International Fund Limited, Paulson Enhanced Ltd., Paulson International Ltd., Paulson Partners Enhanced, L.P., and Paulson Partners L.P. sreisman@curtis.com, cgiglio@curtis.com;jdrew@curtis.com;mgallagher@curtis.com

Steven J. Reisman on behalf of Defendant DbX - Risk Arbitrage 1 Fund, HFR MA Strategic Master Trust, Institutional Benchmarks Series (Master Feeder) Ltd., Lyxor/Paulson International Fund Limited, Paulson Enhanced Ltd., et al. sreisman@curtis.com, $\verb|cgiglio@curtis.com|; | jdrew@curtis.com|; | mgallagher@curtis.com|$

Steven M. Bierman on behalf of Unknown WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. sbierman@sidley.com, emalin@sidley.com;emcdonnell@sidley.com

Steven R. Montgomery on behalf of Creditor J.B. Hunt Transport Services, Inc. smontgomery@rawle.com

Stewart D Aaron on behalf of Defendant Kynikos Opportunity Fund II LP stewart.aaron@aporter.com

Stuart A. Krause on behalf of Interested Party Toyota Tsusho America, Inc. skrause@zeklaw.com Susan Jennik on behalf of Plaintiff AFL-CIO sjennik@kjmlabor.com, smiller@kjmlabor.com;dpaul@kjmlabor.com

Susan M. Cook on behalf of Creditor Knight Facilities Management, Inc. smcook@lambertleser.com Susan R. Katzoff on behalf of Creditor Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, d/b/a National Grid skatzoff@barclaydamon.com, lmcrobbie@barclaydamon.com

Thomas B. Radom on behalf of Creditor Gates de Mexico SA de CV radom@butzel.com

Thomas E. Coughlin on behalf of Creditor MCM Management Corp. tcoughlin@jaffelaw.com
Thomas J. Schank on behalf of Creditor John N. Graham, Trustee for the Bankruptcy Estate of

High Tech Packaging, Inc. tomschank@hunterschank.com

Thomas M. Kennedy on behalf of Plaintiff AFL-CIO tkennedy@kjmlabor.com, sjennik@kjmlabor.com;sambroise@kjmlabor.com

Thomas P. Sarb on behalf of Creditor Benteler Automotive Corp. ecfsarbt@millerjohnson.com Thomas W. Schouten on behalf of Creditor Ridgeview Industries, Inc. tschouten@dunnsslaw.com
Todd S Garber on behalf of Creditor Lisa Phaneuf tgarber@fbfglaw.com

Tonya A. Trumm on behalf of Creditor Jefferson Wells International, Inc.

tatrumm@michaelbest.com, safonte@michaelbest.com

Trent P. Cornell on behalf of Interested Party General Motors National Retiree Association, Over The Hill Car People, LLC tcornell@pedersenhoupt.com

Victor J. Mastromarco, Jr. on behalf of Unknown Gerald Haynor vmastromar@aol.com

Victor J. Mastromarco, Jr. on behalf of Creditor Pillars, Estate of Kathleen Pillars, Deceased vmastromar@aol.com

Victoria D. Garry on behalf of Creditor Ohio Attorney General vgarry@ag.state.oh.us
Wendy S. Walker on behalf of Creditor A.P. Moller-Maersk A/S wwalker@morganlewis.com
Whitney L. Mosby on behalf of Defendant Manual Transmissions of Muncie, LLC wmosby@binghammchale.com

William P. Weintraub on behalf of Attorney Hilliard Munoz Gonzales LLP and Thomas J. Henry Injury Attorney wweintraub@goodwinprocter.com, gfox@goodwinprocter.com

Yolanda M. Humphrey on behalf of Creditor Tomball Independent School District houbank@pbfcm.com, tpope@pbfcm.com

c/o Robert Brownlee Maritz Holdings Inc. rbrownlee@thompsoncoburn.com

TOTAL: 305